Attorney Docket No. 1023-224US01/P-11560.00

SHUMAKER & SIEFFERT, P.A.

United States Patent Application

COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

ලල පු		A C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	IORIEI
$\stackrel{\longrightarrow}{\omega}$ As a below named name, and that I believe I a	d inventor I hereby declare that my resident an original, first and sole inventor (if claimed and for which a patent is sough	only one name is listed bel	nd citizenship are as stated below next to low) or an original, first and joint invento NEUROSTIMULATION THERAPY
c. was (in the case of a	lication serial no. and was amended on PCT-filed application) described and cl for which I solicit a United States pater		filed and as amended on (if a
I hereby state that I have re any amendment referred to		he above-identified specifi	cation, including the claims, as amended
I acknowledge the duty to Federal Regulations, § 1.50	disclose information which is material to 6 (attached hereto).	o the patentability of this ap	oplication in accordance with Title 37, Co
certificate listed below and	rity benefits under Title 35, United State have also identified below any foreign he basis of which priority is claimed:	es Code, § 119/365 of any i application for patent or in-	foreign application(s) for patent or invent ventor's certificate having a filing date be
a. 🔀 no such applications b. 🗌 such applications ha	have been filed. we been filed as follows:		• .
FOI	REIGN APPLICATION(S), IF ANY, C	LAIMING PRIORITY UN	DER 35 USC § 119
COUNTRY	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	DATE OF ISSUE (day, month, year)
ALL FOR	LEIGN APPLICATION(S), IF ANY, FI	LED BEFORE THE PRIO	RITY APPLICATION(S)
COUNTRY	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	DATE OF ISSUE (day, month, year)
			1

he benefit of any United States and PCT international application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, § 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.

U.S. APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	STATUS
60/442,260	31 October 2002	
60/503,214	15 September 2003	



and further appoint the following Practitioners:

Thomas G. Berry	Reg. No. 31,736	Girma Wolde-Michael	Reg. No. 36,724
Daniel G. Chapik	Reg No. 43,424	John Albrecht	Reg. No. 40,481
Stephen W. Bauer	Reg. No. 32,192	Elisabeth Belden	Reg. No. 50,751
James J. Bindseil	Reg. No. 42,326	Kenneth Collier	Reg. No. 34,982
Daniel Greeninger	Reg. No. 48,464	James R. Keogh	Reg. No. 44,824
Daniel W. Latham	Reg. No. 30,401	Curtis D. Kinghorn	Reg. No. 33,926
Paul McDowall	Reg. No. 34,873	Catherine C. Maresh	Reg. No. 35,268
Thomas F. Woods	Reg. No. 36,726	Mark E. O'Connor	Reg. No. 40,108
Richard Yoon	Reg. No. 42,247	Michael Soldner	Reg. No. 41,455

as my/our attorney(s) and/or patent agent(s) to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith.

I hereby authorize them to act and rely on instructions from and communicate directly with the person/assignee/attorney/firm/ organization who/which first sends/sent this case to them and by whom/which I hereby declare that I have consented after full disclosure to be represented unless/until I instruct Shumaker & Sieffert, P.A. to the contrary.

Please direct all correspondence in this case to:

Steven J. Shumaker SHUMAKER & SIEFFERT, P.A. 8425 Seasons Parkway, Suite 105 St. Paul, Minnesota 55125 Telephone: 651.735.1100

Facsimile: 651.735.1102

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name	Family Name	First Given Name	Second Given Name
Of Inventor	Sieracki	Jeffrey	M.
Residence	City	State or Foreign Country	Country of Citizenship
& Citizenship	Silver Spring	Maryland	United States of America
Post Office	Post Office Address 13506 Collingwood Terrace	City	State & Zip Code/Country
Address		Silver Spring	MD 20904/USA
Signature of Invento	or: Ally of Land	Date	10-27-03

Full Na Of Inve		Family Name North	First Given Name Richard		Second Given Name B.
Residen & Citiz		City Baltimore	State or Foreign Count Maryland	тy	Country of Citizenship United States of America
Post Of Address		Post Office Address 1100 Copper Hill Road	City Baltimore		State & Zip Code/Country MD 21209/USA
Signature of Ir	ventor			Date:	

:

§ 1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application:
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.

<u> </u>	Y E >	
Attorney Docket 00.	1023-2241	\$\$01/P-11560.00

SHUMAKER & SIEFFERT, P.A.

United States Patent Application

COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below pamed inventor I hereby declare that my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name, and that I believe I am an original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: NEUROSTIMULATION THERAPY MANIPULATION

the subject matter which is claime MANIPULATION	d and for which a patent is sough	at on the invention entitled: N	EUROS	STIMULATION THER	APY
The specification of which a. \(\sum \) is attached hereto b. \(\sum \) was filed on as application c. \(\sum \) was (in the case of a PCT-fi which I have reviewed and for wh	led application) described and cl		filed	and as amended on	(if any),
I hereby state that I have reviewed any amendment referred to above.		he above-identified specificat	ion, incl	luding the claims, as an	nended by
I acknowledge the duty to disclose Federal Regulations, § 1.56 (attack		the patentability of this appl	ication i	n accordance with Title	37, Code of
I hereby claim foreign priority ber certificate listed below and have a that of the application on the basis a. \(\sum \) no such applications have b b. \(\sum \) such applications have beer	lso identified below any foreign of which priority is claimed: een filed.				
FOREIGN	APPLICATION(S), IF ANY, CI	LAIMING PRIORITY UNDE	R 35 U	SC § 119	
COUNTRY	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)		DATE OF ISSUE (day, month, year)	
ALL FOREIGN	APPLICATION(S), IF ANY, FI	LED BEFORE THE PRIORI	<u>_</u> ГҮ АРР	LICATION(S)	
COUNTRY	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)]	DATE OF ISSUE (day, month, year)	

I hereby claim the benefit of any United States and PCT international application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, § 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.

U.S. APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	STATUS
60/442,260	31 October 2002	
60/503,214	15 September 2003	



and further appoint the following Practitioners:

Thomas G. Berry	Reg. No. 31,736	Girma Wolde-Michael	Reg. No. 36,724
Daniel G. Chapik	Reg No. 43,424	John Albrecht	Reg. No. 40,481
Stephen W. Bauer	Reg. No. 32,192	Elisabeth Belden	Reg. No. 50,751
James J. Bindseil	Reg. No. 42,326	Kenneth Collier	Reg. No. 34,982
Daniel Greeninger	Reg. No. 48,464	James R. Keogh	Reg. No. 44,824
Daniel W. Latham	Reg. No. 30,401	Curtis D. Kinghorn	Reg. No. 33,926
Paul McDowall	Reg. No. 34,873	Catherine C. Maresh	Reg. No. 35,268
Thomas F. Woods	Reg. No. 36,726	Mark E. O'Connor	Reg. No. 40,108
Richard Yoon	Reg. No. 42,247	Michael Soldner	Reg. No. 41,455

as my/our attorney(s) and/or patent agent(s) to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith.

I hereby authorize them to act and rely on instructions from and communicate directly with the person/assignee/attorney/firm/ organization who/which first sends/sent this case to them and by whom/which I hereby declare that I have consented after full disclosure to be represented unless/until I instruct Shumaker & Sieffert, P.A. to the contrary.

Please direct all correspondence in this case to:

Steven J. Shumaker SHUMAKER & SIEFFERT, P.A. 8425 Seasons Parkway, Suite 105 St. Paul, Minnesota 55125 Telephone: 651.735.1100

Facsimile: 651.735.1100

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

	Full Name	Family Name	First Given Name	Second Given Name
	Of Inventor	Sieracki	Jeffrey	M.
	Residence & Citizenship	City Silver Spring	State or Foreign Count Maryland	try Country of Citizenship United States of America
	Post Office	Post Office Address	City	State & Zip Code/Country
	Address	13506 Collingwood Terrace	Silver Spring	MD 20904/USA
Sign	ature of Inventor	:		Date:

11 1	Full Name Of Inventor	Family Name North	First Given Name Richard		Second Given Name B.
R	Residence & Citizenship	City Baltimore	State or Foreign Country Maryland	y	Country of Citizenship United States of America
<u> </u>	Post Office Address	Post Office Address 1100 Copper Hill Road	City Baltimore		State & Zip Code/Country MD 21209/USA
Signati	ure of Inventor	2 Shits		Date:	29/03

§ 1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.

or

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
 - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim;
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application:
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.